**FILED** 

## NOT FOR PUBLICATION

MAR 12 2008

## MOLLY DWYER, ACTING CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

## FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

ROGELIO MEZA-REGALADO,

Petitioner,

v.

MICHAEL B. MUKASEY, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 04-72207

Agency No. A93-467-143

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted February 26, 2008\*\*

Before: BEEZER, FERNANDEZ, and McKEOWN, Circuit Judges.

Rogelio Meza-Regalado, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order dismissing his appeal from the Immigration Judge's ("IJ") order denying his application for cancellation of

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

removal. To the extent we have jurisdiction, it is pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We deny in part and dismiss in part the petition for review.

The BIA was not required to close Meza-Regalado's removal proceedings to allow him to pursue a Legal Immigration Family Equity Act ("LIFE Act") application with the Department of Homeland Security because he did not demonstrate prima facie eligibility for relief under the LIFE Act. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 245a.12(b).

We lack jurisdiction to review Meza-Regalado's other contentions because he did not raise them before the BIA. *See Barron v. Ashcroft*, 358 F.3d 674, 677 (9th Cir. 2004) (holding that the court lacks jurisdiction to review legal arguments not raised before the BIA).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED in part; DISMISSED in part.